

1

Transcript Errata

2

Yukon Energy 2017-18 GRA: YUB Hearing June 26-28, 2018

3

Transcript Review Submitted by YEC

4

General

5

Title page for each day's transcript - Says "Yukon

6

Electrical Company Limited", should read "Yukon Energy

7

Corporation"

8

9

Volume 1- June 26, 2018

10

P36: 15 - "surveying Whitehorse Cooper tailings" should

11

read "serving Whitehorse Copper Tailings".

12

13

P87: 6-11 and P115: 15-21 - Mr. Mollard's references in

14

these sections to "the early '80s" should have been to "the

15

early '90s"; further, his references in these sections to

16

"a short term model" should have been to "the short term

17

forecasts". At P115: 18-21 - the Low Water Reserve Account

18

was approved in the 1991/92 GRA (not in 1989 as stated in

19

transcript), and used short term forecasts for hydro

20

generation (not long term average hydro forecasts as stated

21

in transcript). [As stated at P115:22-23, the DCF was

22

established as part of the negotiated settlement for the

23

1996/97 GRA - the long-term average hydro forecast was

24

first adopted when the DCF was established.]

25

1 P46: 15 - "XM models" should read "YECSIM models"

2

3 P128: 16 - "project certain people" should read "protect
4 certain people"

5

6 P154: 16 - "secondly" should read "secondary"

7

8 P157: 8 - "ER payment" should read "ERA payment"

9

10 P158: 6 - "is approved" should read "as approved"

11

12 **Volume 2 June 27, 2018**

13 P236: 18 - "in 20 years" should read "in 20 percent of the
14 years".

15

16 P239: 6-11 - Mr. Osler says that the 490 GW.h load LTA
17 "went to the same DCF table" as the other load scenarios in
18 the Table at page 3 of JM-YEC-1-21 revised, referencing
19 Table 3.4-1 of the Application. Mr. Osler wants to correct
20 this statement. Table 3.4-1 of the Application assumes only
21 the Minto mine load with overall load net of wind only up
22 to 485 GW.h. Assessments of LTA hydro and thermal
23 generation with the addition of VGC load (which was assumed
24 for the 490 GW.h load scenario) required and utilized
25 adjusted YECSIM analysis to reflect the changed seasonal

1 grid load shape with this added major industrial load (with
2 its focus on summer season load) the adjusted YECSIM
3 analysis otherwise included the same water years and Mayo
4 restrictions as adopted for Table 3.4-1 of the Application.

5

6 P240: 6 - "have mines connected" should read "have no mines
7 connected"

8

9 P277: 23 - reference here to "Manitoba Hydro" should read
10 "Quebec Hydro"

11

12 P326: 19-20 - "any royal LNG (verbatim) units" should read
13 "any additional LNG units"

14

15 P386: 7 - "site management" should read "side management"

16

17 P402: 1 - "YUD-YEC-1-83" should read "YUB-YEC-1-83"

18

19 **Volume 3 June 28, 2018**

20 P483: 9; P483: 12; P484: 19 - References to "Enercan"
21 should read "NRCan"

22

23 P540: 2-5 - Mr. Mollard was to check on general provisions
24 in the GRA for wage increases for the test years, and
25 confirm if this was 2 percent in each test year. On review,

1 Mr. Mollard confirms that the GRA assumed 2 percent wage
2 increase in 2017 and 1.75% wage increase in 2018.

3

4 P532: 24 to P533: 1 - Mr. Mollard states that the notes to
5 Table 1 of YUB-YEC-2-26 “those calculation [in Table 1] are
6 net of amortization contributions of \$0.099 million”. On
7 review, Mr. Mollard wants to correct this to read “those
8 calculation [in Table 1] are before deduction of
9 amortization contributions of \$0.099 million”.

10

11 P595: 18 - To clarify, Mr. Osler's reference to “this
12 particular utility in British Columbia” was to FortisBC
13 Energy Inc. (FBC), which is also referenced to as FortisBC
14 Electric.

15

16 P597: 11-12 - Mr. Osler accepted, subject to check, that a
17 Stage 2 process is currently ongoing. Subsequent review of
18 the BCUC website has failed to identify any current or
19 ongoing Stage 2 BCUC process.

20

21 P629: 13-14 - where reads “it was for the benefit of the
22 shareholders, and it was going to be using for that
23 purpose...” it should read “it was not for the benefit of the
24 shareholders, and it was not going to be used for that
25 purpose...”